UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

RASHID JAHM,

CASE NO. 05-CV-11638-JLT

Plaintiff,

v

DENNIS B. LEIBER, ET AL

Defendants.

Rashid Jahm In Pro Per 49 Hallenan Ave. Lawrence, MA 01841 (978) 258-9419 George L. McCargar, III (P45588) In Pro Per 161 Ottawa Ave. NW Ste 411-S Grand Rapids, MI 49503 (616) 774-8422

ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES OF DEFENDANT GEORGE L. McCARGAR

Defendant George L. McCargar ("Defendant") states his Answer to the Complaint as follows.

- 1. To the extent allegations and claims are made against Defendant in the unumbered paragraphs set forth at pages 3 -5 of the Complaint, all such allegations and claims are denied.
- 2. To the extent allegations and claims are made against Defendant in paragraphs 1 4 of the Complaint set forth at pages 5 -7, all such allegations and claims are denied.
- 3. Defendant denies that this Court has subject matter or personal jurisdiction.

- 4. To the extent allegations and claims are made against Defendant at paragraphs 1 46 set forth at pages 7 15 of the Complaint, all such allegations and claims are denied.
- 5. To the extent allegations and claims are made against Defendant at paragraphs 43 56 set forth at pages 15 17 of the Complaint, all such allegations and claims are denied.
- 6. To the extent allegations and claims are made against Defendant at paragraph 57 set forth at page 17 of the Complaint, all such allegations and claims are denied.
- 7. With regard to paragraph 58 of the Complaint, Defendant admits that he was "fired by plaintiff prior to sep. 15th 2002." Further answering an Order Authorizing Withdrawal of Counsel for Plaintiff Rashid Jahm was entered by the State of Michigan 7th Circuit Court on October 4, 2002. A copy of the Order is attached as Exhibit 1 hereto. Defendant discontinued serving as Plaintiff's attorney, at the latest, either at the time he was "fired" by Plaintiff or as of the date of entry of the Order Authorizing Withdrawal of Counsel. To the extent paragraph 58 of the Complaint makes additional allegations and claims against Defendant, all such allegations and claims are denied.
- 8. To the extent allegations and claims are made against Defendant at paragraphs 59 85 set forth at pages 18 21 of the Complaint, all such allegations and claims are denied.
- 9. To the extent allegations and claims are made against Defendant at the unnumbered paragraphs set forth at pages 21-23 of the Complaint, all such allegations and claims are denied.

WHEREFORE, Defendant respectfully requests that this Court dismiss with prejudice Plaintiff's Complaint and that it award Defendant applicable costs and attorneys fees arising from the defense of this action.

In Pro Per

161 Ottawa, N.W., Ste. 411-S Grand Rapids, Michigan 49503 (616) 774-8422

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

- This Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction and jurisdiction over 1. Defendant.
 - 2. The Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.
 - 3. Venue is not proper in this Court.
 - 4. Plaintiff's claims are barred by the applicable statute of limitations.
 - 5. Plaintiff's claims are barred by the insufficiency of service of process.
 - 6. Defendant reserves the right to amend these affirmative defenses.

George L. McCargar, III (P45588) In Pro Per 161 Ottawa, N.W., Ste. 411-S

Grand Rapids, Michigan 49503 (616) 774-8422

Case 1:05-cv-11638-JLT Document 21 Filed 01/09/2006 Page 4 of 4

IN THE CINCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF KENT

RASHID A. JAHM,

v.

Plaintiff,

File No.: 00-08794-NI

HON. DENNIS B. LEIBER

CITY OF WALKER, a Michigan Municipal Corporation and EUGENE E. KARS,

Defendants.

BRANSDORFER & RUSSELL, LLP Stephen C. Bransdorfer (P11133) George L. McCargar III (P45588) Attorneys for Plaintiff 161 Ottawa Avenue, NW Suite 411-S Grand Rapids, MI 49503 (616) 774-8422 SMITH, HAUGHEY, RICE & ROEGGE Craig R. Noland (P30717) Attorneys for Defendant 200 Calder Plaza Building 250 Monroe, NW Grand Rapids, MI 49503-2251 (616) 774-8000

ORDER AUTHORIZING WITHDRAWAL OF COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF RASHID A. JAHM

Trial by jury in this cause having been completed and Bransdorfer & Russell, LLP, as successor to Bransdorfer & Bransdorfer, P.C., having filed a Motion for Withdrawal as Counsel for Plaintiff Rashid A. Jahm in accordance with Rule of Professional Conduct 1.16(b)(3) and (b)(5) and the Court having heard said counsel and having heard Plaintiff at the hearing on this matter held October 4, 2002 and being fully advised in the premises.

& Russell, LLP and Bransdorfer & Bransdorfer, P.C., be and the same is granted with said counsel to take all necessary actions in accordance with Rule of Professional Conduct 1.16(d).

Hon. Dennis B. Leiber

Dated: October 4, 2002 DENNIS B. LEIBER

Attest: A True Copy

